

# Implicit Function Theorem via the DSM

A G Ramm

Department of Mathematics  
Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS 66506-2602, USA  
ramm@math.ksu.edu

## Abstract

Sufficient conditions are given for an implicit function theorem to hold. The result is established by an application of the Dynamical Systems Method (DSM). It allows one to solve a class of nonlinear operator equations in the case when the Fréchet derivative of the nonlinear operator is a smoothing operator, so that its inverse is an unbounded operator.

*MSC:* 47J05, 47J25,

*Key words:* Dynamical Systems Method (DSM); Hard implicit function theorem; Newton's method

## 1 Introduction

The aim of this paper is to demonstrate the power of the Dynamical Systems Method (DSM) as a tool for proving theoretical results. The DSM was systematically developed in [6] and applied to solving nonlinear operator equations in [6] (see also [7]), where the emphasis was on convergence and stability of the DSM-based algorithms for solving operator equations, especially nonlinear and ill-posed equations. The DSM for solving an operator equation  $F(u) = h$  consists of finding a nonlinear map  $u \mapsto \Phi(t, u)$ , depending on a parameter  $t \in [0, \infty)$ , that has the following three properties:

- (1) the Cauchy problem

$$\dot{u} = \Phi(t, u), \quad u(0) = u_0 \quad (\dot{u} := \frac{du(t)}{dt})$$

has a unique global solution  $u(t)$  for a given initial approximation  $u_0$ ;

- (2) the limit  $u(\infty) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t)$  exists; and

- (3) this limit solves the original equation  $F(u) = h$ , i.e.,  $F(u(\infty)) = h$ .

The operator  $F : H \rightarrow H$  is a nonlinear map in a Hilbert space  $H$ . It is assumed that the equation  $F(u) = h$  has a solution, possibly nonunique.

The problem is to find a  $\Phi$  such that the properties (1), (2), and (3) hold. Various choices of  $\Phi$  for which these properties hold are proposed in [6], where the DSM is justified for wide classes of operator equations, in particular, for

some classes of nonlinear ill-posed equations (i.e., equations  $F(u) = 0$  for which the linear operator  $F'(u)$  is not boundedly invertible). By  $F'(u)$  we denote the Fréchet derivative of the nonlinear map  $F$  at the element  $u$ .

In this note the DSM is used as a tool for proving a "hard" implicit function theorem.

Let us first recall the usual implicit function theorem. Let  $U$  solve the equation  $F(U) = f$ .

*Proposition:* If  $F(U) = f$ ,  $F$  is a  $C^1$ -map in a Hilbert space  $H$ , and  $F'(U)$  is a boundedly invertible operator, i.e.,  $\|[F'(U)]^{-1}\| \leq m$ , then the equation

$$F(u) = h \quad (1.1)$$

is uniquely solvable for every  $h$  sufficiently close to  $f$ .

For convenience of the reader we include a proof of this known result.

*Proof of the Proposition.* First, one can reduce the problem to the case  $u = 0$  and  $h = 0$ . This is done as follows. Let  $u = U + z$ ,  $h - f = p$ ,  $F(U + z) - F(U) := \phi(z)$ . Then  $\phi(0) = 0$ ,  $\phi'(0) = F'(U)$ , and equation (1.1) is equivalent to the equation

$$\phi(z) = p, \quad (1.2)$$

with the assumptions

$$\phi(0) = 0, \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \|\phi'(z) - \phi'(0)\| = 0, \quad \|[ \phi'(0) ]^{-1}\| \leq m. \quad (1.3)$$

We want to prove that equation (1.2) under the assumptions (1.3) has a unique solution  $z = z(p)$ , such that  $z(0) = 0$ , and  $\lim_{p \rightarrow 0} z(p) = 0$ . To prove this, consider the equation

$$z = z - [\phi'(0)]^{-1}(\phi(z) - p) := B(z), \quad (1.4)$$

and check that the operator  $B$  is a contraction in a ball  $\mathcal{B}_\epsilon := \{z : \|z\| \leq \epsilon\}$  if  $\epsilon > 0$  is sufficiently small, and  $B$  maps  $\mathcal{B}_\epsilon$  into itself. If this is proved, then the desired result follows from the contraction mapping principle.

One has

$$\|B(z)\| = \|z - [\phi'(0)]^{-1}(\phi'(0)z + \eta - p)\| \leq m\|\eta\| + m\|p\|, \quad (1.5)$$

where  $\|\eta\| = o(\|z\|)$ . If  $\epsilon$  is so small that  $m\|\eta\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  and  $p$  is so small that  $m\|p\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ , then  $\|B(z)\| < \epsilon$ , so  $B : \mathcal{B}_\epsilon \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\epsilon$ .

Let us check that  $B$  is a contraction mapping in  $\mathcal{B}_\epsilon$ . One has:

$$\begin{aligned} \|Bz - By\| &= \|z - y - [\phi'(0)]^{-1}(\phi(z) - \phi(y))\| \\ &= \|z - y - [\phi'(0)]^{-1} \int_0^1 \phi'(y + t(z - y)) dt (z - y)\| \\ &\leq m \int_0^1 \|\phi'(y + t(z - y)) - \phi'(0)\| dt \|z - y\|. \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

If  $y, z \in \mathcal{B}_\epsilon$ , then

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \|\phi'(y + t(z - y)) - \phi'(0)\| = o(1), \quad \epsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore, if  $\epsilon$  is so small that  $mo(1) < 1$ , then  $B$  is a contraction mapping in  $\mathcal{B}_\epsilon$ , and equation (1.2) has a unique solution  $z = z(p)$  in  $\mathcal{B}_\epsilon$ , such that  $z(0) = 0$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

The crucial assumptions, on which this proof is based, are assumptions (1.3).

Suppose now that  $\phi'(0)$  is not boundedly invertible, so that the last assumption in (1.3) is not valid. Then a theorem which still guarantees the existence of a solution to equation (1.2) for some set of  $p$  is called a "hard" implicit function theorem. Examples of such theorems one may find, e.g., in [1], [2], [3], and [4].

Our goal in this paper is to establish a new theorem of this type using a new method of proof, based on the Dynamical Systems Method (DSM). In [8] we have demonstrated a theoretical application of the DSM by establishing some surjectivity results for nonlinear operators.

The result, presented in this paper, is a new illustration of the applicability of the DSM as a tool for proving theoretical results.

To formulate the result, let us introduce the notion of a scale of Hilbert spaces  $H_a$  (see [5]). Let  $H_a \subset H_b$  and  $\|u\|_b \leq \|u\|_a$  if  $a \geq b$ . Example of spaces  $H_a$  is the scale of Sobolev spaces  $H_a = W^{a,2}(D)$ , where  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a bounded domain with a sufficiently smooth boundary.

Consider equation (1.1). Assume that

$$F(U) = f; \quad F : H_a \rightarrow H_{a+\delta}, \quad u \in B(U, R) := B_a(U, R), \quad (1.7)$$

where  $B_a(U, R) := \{u : \|u - U\|_a \leq R\}$  and  $\delta = \text{const} > 0$ , and the operator  $F : H_a \rightarrow H_{a+\delta}$  is continuous. Furthermore, assume that  $A := A(u) := F'(u)$  exists and is an isomorphism of  $H_a$  onto  $H_{a+\delta}$ :

$$c_0 \|v\|_a \leq \|A(u)v\|_{a+\delta} \leq c'_0 \|v\|_a, \quad u, v \in B(U, R), \quad (1.8)$$

that

$$\|A^{-1}(v)A(w)\|_a \leq c, \quad v, w \in B(U, R), \quad (1.9)$$

and

$$\|A^{-1}(u)[A(u) - A(v)]\|_a \leq c \|u - v\|_a, \quad u, v \in B(U, R). \quad (1.10)$$

Here and below we denote by  $c > 0$  various constants. Note that (1.8) implies

$$\|A^{-1}(u)\psi\|_a \leq c_0^{-1} \|\psi\|_{a+\delta}, \quad \psi = A(u)[F(v) - h], \quad v \in B(U, R).$$

Assumption (1.8) implies that  $A(u)$  is a smoothing operator similar to a smoothing integral operator, and its inverse is similar to the differentiation operator of order  $\delta > 0$ . Therefore, the operator  $A^{-1}(u) = [F'(u)]^{-1}$  causes the "loss of the derivatives". In general, this may lead to a breakdown of the Newton process

(method) in a finitely many steps. Our assumptions (1.7)-(1.10) guarantee that this will not happen.

Assume that

$$u_0 \in B_a(U, \rho), \quad h \in B_{a+\delta}(f, \rho), \quad (1.11)$$

where  $\rho > 0$  is a sufficiently small number:

$$\rho \leq \rho_0 := \frac{R}{1 + c_0^{-1}(1 + c'_0)},$$

and  $c_0, c'_0$  are the constants from (1.8). Then  $F(u_0) \in B_{a+\delta}(f, c'_0\rho)$ , because  $\|F(u_0) - F(U)\| \leq c'_0\|u_0 - U\| \leq c'_0\rho$ .

Consider the problem

$$\dot{u} = -[F'(u)]^{-1}(F(u) - h), \quad u(0) = u_0. \quad (1.12)$$

Our basic result is:

**Theorem 1.1.** *If the assumptions (1.7)-(1.11) hold, and  $0 < \rho \leq \rho_0 := \frac{R}{1 + c_0^{-1}(1 + c'_0)}$ , where  $c_0, c'_0$  are the constants from (1.8), then problem (1.12) has a unique global solution  $u(t)$ , there exists  $V := u(\infty)$ ,*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t) - V\|_a = 0, \quad (1.13)$$

and

$$F(V) = h. \quad (1.14)$$

Theorem 1.1 says that if  $F(U) = f$  and  $\rho \leq \rho_0$ , then for any  $h \in B_{a+\delta}(f, \rho)$  equation (1.1) is solvable and a solution to (1.1) is  $u(\infty)$ , where  $u(\infty)$  solves problem (1.12).

In Section 2 we prove Theorem 1.1.

## 2 Proof

Let us outline the ideas of the proof. The local existence and uniqueness of the solution to (1.12) will be established if one verifies that the operator  $A^{-1}(u)[F(u) - h]$  is locally Lipschitz in  $H_a$ . The global existence of this solution  $u(t)$  will be established if one proves the uniform boundedness of  $u(t)$ :

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \|u(t)\|_a \leq c. \quad (2.1)$$

Let us first prove (in paragraph a) below) estimate (2.1), the existence of  $u(\infty)$ , and the relation (1.14), assuming the local existence of the solution to (1.12).

In paragraph b) below the local existence of the solution to (1.12) is proved.

a) If  $u(t)$  exists locally, then the function

$$g(t) := \|\phi\|_{a+\delta} := \|F(u(t)) - h\|_{a+\delta} \quad (2.2)$$

satisfies the relation

$$g\dot{g} = (F'(u(t))\dot{u}, \phi)_{a+\delta} = -g^2, \quad (2.3)$$

where equation (1.12) was used. Since  $g \geq 0$ , it follows from (2.3) that

$$g(t) \leq g(0)e^{-t}, \quad g(0) = \|F(u_0) - h\|_{a+\delta}. \quad (2.4)$$

From (1.12), (2.3) and (1.8) one gets:

$$\|\dot{u}\|_a \leq \frac{1}{c_0} \|\phi\|_{a+\delta} = \frac{g(0)}{c_0} e^{-t} := re^{-t}, \quad r := \frac{\|F(u_0) - h\|_{a+\delta}}{c_0}. \quad (2.5)$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\dot{u}(t)\|_a = 0, \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$\int_0^\infty \|\dot{u}(t)\|_a dt < \infty. \quad (2.7)$$

This inequality implies

$$\|u(\tau) - u(s)\| \leq \int_s^\tau \|\dot{u}(t)\|_a dt < \epsilon, \quad \tau > s > s(\epsilon),$$

where  $\epsilon > 0$  is an arbitrary small fixed number, and  $s(\epsilon)$  is a sufficiently large number. Thus, the limit  $V := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t) := u(\infty)$  exists by the Cauchy criterion, and (1.13) holds. Assumptions (1.7) and (1.8) and relations (1.12), (1.13), and (2.6) imply (1.14).

Integrating inequality (2.5) yields

$$\|u(t) - u_0\|_a \leq r, \quad (2.8)$$

and

$$\|u(t) - u(\infty)\|_a \leq re^{-t}. \quad (2.9)$$

Inequality (2.8) implies (2.1).

b) Let us now prove the local existence of the solution to (1.12).

We prove that the operator in (1.12)  $A^{-1}(u)[F(u) - h]$  is locally Lipschitz in  $H_a$ . This implies the local existence of the solution to (1.12).

One has

$$\begin{aligned} \|A^{-1}(u)(F(u) - h) - A^{-1}(v)(F(v) - h)\|_a &\leq \|[A^{-1}(u) - A^{-1}(v)](F(u) - h)\|_a \\ &+ \|A^{-1}(v)(F(u) - F(v))\|_a := I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Write

$$F(u) - F(v) = \int_0^1 A(v + t(u - v))(u - v) dt, \quad (2.11)$$

and use assumption (1.9) with  $w = v + t(u - v)$  to conclude that

$$I_2 \leq c\|u - v\|_a. \quad (2.12)$$

Write

$$A^{-1}(u) - A^{-1}(v) = A^{-1}(u)[A(v) - A(u)]A^{-1}(v), \quad (2.13)$$

and use the estimate

$$\|A^{-1}(v)[F(u) - h]\|_a \leq c, \quad (2.14)$$

which is a consequence of assumptions (1.7) and (1.8). Then use assumption (1.10) to conclude that

$$I_1 \leq c\|u - v\|_a. \quad (2.15)$$

From (2.10), (2.12) and (2.15) it follows that the operator  $A^{-1}(u)[F(u) - h]$  is locally Lipschitz.

Note that

$$\|u(t) - U\|_a \leq \|u(t) - u_0\|_a + \|u_0 - U\|_a \leq r + \rho, \quad (2.16)$$

$$\|F(u(t)) - h\|_{a+\delta} \leq \|F(u_0) - h\|_{a+\delta} \leq \|F(u_0) - f\|_{a+\delta} + \|f - h\|_{a+\delta} \leq (1 + c'_0)\rho, \quad (2.17)$$

so, from (2.5) one gets

$$r \leq \frac{(1 + c'_0)\rho}{c_0}. \quad (2.18)$$

Choose

$$R \geq r + \rho. \quad (2.19)$$

Then the trajectory  $u(t)$  stays in the ball  $B(U, R)$  for all  $t \geq 0$ , and, therefore, assumptions (1.7)-(1.10) hold in this ball for all  $t \geq 0$ .

Condition (2.19) and inequality (2.18) imply

$$\rho \leq \rho_0 = \frac{R}{1 + c_0^{-1}(1 + c'_0)}. \quad (2.20)$$

This is the "smallness" condition on  $\rho$ .

Theorem 1.1 is proved.  $\square$

### 3 Example

Let

$$F(u) = \int_0^x u^2(s)ds, \quad x \in [0, 1].$$

Then

$$A(u)q = 2 \int_0^x u(s)q(s)ds.$$

Let  $f = x$  and  $U = 1$ . Then  $F(U) = x$ . Choose  $a = 1$  and  $\delta = 1$ . Denote by  $H_a = H_a(0, 1)$  the usual Sobolev space. Assume that

$$h \in B_2(x, \rho) := \{h : \|h - x\|_2 \leq \rho\},$$

and  $\rho > 0$  is sufficiently small. One can verify that

$$A^{-1}(u)\psi = \frac{\psi'(x)}{2u(x)}$$

for any  $\psi \in H_1$ .

Let us check conditions (1.7)-(1.11) for this example.

Condition (1.7) holds, because if  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $H_1$ , then

$$\int_0^x u_n^2(s)ds \rightarrow \int_0^x u^2(s)ds$$

in  $H_2$ . To verify this, it is sufficient to check that

$$\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \int_0^x u_n^2(s)ds \rightarrow 2uu',$$

where  $\rightarrow$  means the convergence in  $H := H_0 := L^2(0, 1)$ . In turn, this is verified if one checks that  $u'_n u_n \rightarrow u'u$  in  $L^2(0, 1)$ , provided that  $u'_n \rightarrow u'$  in  $L^2(0, 1)$ .

One has

$$I_n := \|u'_n u_n - u'u\|_0 \leq \|(u'_n - u')u_n\|_0 + \|u'(u_n - u)\|_0.$$

Since  $\|u'_n\|_0 \leq c$ , one concludes that  $\|u_n\|_{L^\infty(0,1)} \leq c_1$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n - u\|_{L^\infty} = 0$ . Thus,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_n = 0.$$

Condition (1.8) holds because  $\|u\|_{L^\infty(0,1)} \leq c\|u\|_1$ , and

$$\left\| \int_0^x u(s)q(s)ds \right\|_2 \leq c\|u'q + uq'\|_0 \leq c(\|q\|_{L^\infty(0,1)}\|u\|_1 + \|u\|_{L^\infty(0,1)}\|q\|_1),$$

so

$$\left\| \int_0^x u(s)q(s)ds \right\|_2 \leq c'_0\|u\|_1\|q\|_1,$$

and

$$\left\| \int_0^x uqds \right\|_2 \geq \|uq\|_1 \geq c_0\|q\|_1,$$

provided that  $u \in B_1(1, \rho)$  and  $\rho > 0$  is sufficiently small.

Condition (1.9) holds because

$$\|A^{-1}(v)A(w)q\|_1 = \left\| \frac{1}{v(x)}w(x)q \right\|_1 \leq c\|q\|_1,$$

provided that  $u, w \in B_1(1, \rho)$  and  $\rho > 0$  is sufficiently small.

Condition (1.10) holds because

$$\|A^{-1}(u) \int_0^x (u-v)qds\|_1 = \left\| \frac{u-v}{2u}q \right\|_1 \leq c\|u-v\|_1\|q\|_1,$$

provided that  $u, v \in B_1(1, \rho)$  and  $\rho > 0$  is sufficiently small.

By Theorem 1.1 the equation

$$F(u) := \int_0^x u^2(s) ds = h,$$

where  $\|h - x\|_2 \leq \rho$  and  $\rho > 0$  is sufficiently small, has a solution  $V$ ,

$$F(V) = h.$$

This solution can be obtained as  $u(\infty)$ , where  $u(t)$  solves problem (1.12) and conditions (1.11) and (2.20) hold.



## References

- [1] S. Alinhac and P. Gerard, *Pseudo-differential Operators and the Nash-Moser Theorem*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007.
- [2] M. Berger, *Nonlinearity and Functional Analysis*, Academic Press, New York, 1977
- [3] K. Deimling, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1985.
- [4] L. Hörmander, On the Nash-Moser implicit function theorem, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math., Series A.I. Mathematics*, 10, (1985), 255-259.
- [5] S. Krein, Yu. Petunin, E. Semenov, *Interpolation of Linear Operators*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (1982).
- [6] A. G. Ramm, *Dynamical Systems Method for Solving Operator Equations*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2007.
- [7] A. G. Ramm, Dynamical systems method (DSM) and nonlinear problems, in *Spectral Theory and Nonlinear Analysis*, J. Lopez-Gomez, ed., World Scientific, Singapore, 2005, 201-228.
- [8] A. G. Ramm, Dynamical systems method and a homeomorphism theorem, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 113, N10, (2006), 928-933.